

Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

Proposal title: Local Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Fund (LEVI) Bid			
Project stage and type: Initial Idea Mandate	⊠ Outline Business Case □ Full Business Case		
□ Policy □ Strategy □ Function ⊠ Service	⊠ New □ Changing		
Other [please state]	□ Already exists / review		
Directorate: Growth and Regeneration	Lead Officer name: Jacob Pryor		
Service Area: City Transport	Lead Officer role: Transport Policy, Bidding and Strategic		
	Projects		

Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is to help you develop your proposal in a way that is compliant with the council's policies and supports the council's strategic objectives under the <u>One City Climate</u> <u>Strategy</u>, the <u>One City Ecological Emergency Strategy</u> and the latest <u>Corporate Strategy</u>.

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the project proposal process by someone with a good knowledge of the project, the service area that will deliver it, and sufficient influence over the proposal to make changes as needed.

It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the Environmental Impact Assessment. See further guidance on completing this document. Please email <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> early for advice and feedback.

1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms.

1. As outlined in the One City Climate Strategy Bristol's ambition is to be carbon neutral and climate resilient by 2030.

2. Transport accounts for about 34% of the average Bristol resident's carbon footprint with driving being the largest sub-category of this at 17%.

3. A headline objective of the strategy is that by 2030 "all of Bristol's cars primarily consist of ultralow emission vehicles (ULEVs) and 90% of other vehicles to be ULEV".

4. Nationally ULEVs accounted for 2.1% of the entire fleet in 2022, but for the West of England that number was 1.4% and for Bristol it was 1.2% - about 2,364 vehicles.

5. There are multiple factors that are preventing more rapid uptake of EV vehicles from high upfront costs, to perceived 'range anxiety' and a lack of reliable charge points.

6. The UK government's 'Taking charge: the electric vehicle infrastructure strategy' (2022) notes that the pace of delivery of public on-street charge points is a key challenge for the sector as this can be an area where the commercial case for charge point operators can be weaker.

7. Recognising that on-street charge points require additional investment the government invited councils to apply for grants from the Local Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (LEVI) fund in February 2023. The fund has two main objectives:

8. "Deliver a step-change in the deployment of local, primarily low power, on-street charging infrastructure across England."

9. "Accelerate the commercialisation of, and investment in, the local charging infrastructure sector."

10. Bristol's approach to applying for a grant from the LEVI fund will be to choose the 'right charger for the right location' which will include on-street chargers, chargers in community hubs and car parks and rapid chargers.

11. Bristol is in an advantageous position in terms of meeting both objectives of the fund given its recently launched partnership with Ameresco under Bristol City Leap (BCL)

12. Bristol City Council will seek to use BCL to accelerate the rollout of charge points under LEVI and bring in additional investment to match the government's capital grant.

13. The LEVI funding bid has 3 stages of submission.

14. Stage 1 was an Expression of Interest which was submitted by the West of England Combined Authority (WECA) and West of England (WoE) Local Authorities on the 26th of May 2023.

15. Stage 2 required WECA and WOE authorities to submit a draft tender document for review. This was submitted on the 30th of November 2023.

16. Should this Stage 2 application be successful WECA (and in turn Bristol) will receive 90% of its share of the WECA funding allocation upfront with the remaining 10% held back until Stage 3 submission.

17. Stage 3 submission is required in September 2024 and will require Bristol to finalise a draft contract with a commercial partner for review by the Office for Zero Emission Vehicles. If OZEV approve the contract the remaining funding 10% of funding will be released and the project will progress to delivery.

18. The indicative funding allocation for the WECA area is £6,644,000 and Bristol may reasonably expect to receive **up to** £4m of funding, noting that the exact figure may be less than this.

19. The projected investment through LEVI will complement the council's other efforts in the field of electric vehicle infrastructure delivery including up to £2.46m of 'Green Recovery Fund' (see Feb 24 cabinet report) which will see a further expansion of the public charge point network.

1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental impact?

Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no environmental impact, then skip steps 2-3 and request review by sending this form to <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u>

If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessm	nent.
--	-------

Yes I No [please select]

1.3 If the proposal is part of an options appraisal, has the environmental impact of each option been assessed and included in the recommendation-making process?

If 'Yes' please ensure that the details of the environmental impacts of each option are made clear in the pros and cons section of the <u>project management options appraisal document</u>.

🗌 Yes	🗌 No	🛛 Not applicable	[please select]
-------	------	------------------	-----------------

If 'No' explain why environmental impacts have not been considered as part of the options appraisal process.

The development of the proposal has largely been driven by the assessment criteria of the funding body which focuses on increasing the provision of low-powered charge points. At a later stage of development, the proposal will consider how investment in charge points is prioritised at different locations in the city and include consideration of the type and power of charge point that best suits a given location.

Step 2: What kinds of environmental impacts might the project have?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed <u>guidance documents</u> for advice on identifying potential impacts.

Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our corporate environmental objectives and the wider <u>One City Climate and Ecological Emergency</u> <u>strategies</u>.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. **Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.**

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many categories)

Generally speaking, the construction of charge points will carry adverse environmental impacts attributed to the extraction, installation, use and ongoing maintenance of the materials used. Balanced against those impacts are the expected positive environmental effects which will include encouraging the uptake of zero-emission capable vehicles and the benefits that this will have for reducing carbon emissions and providing air quality benefits. Electric vehicles are often quieter than Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) driven vehicles and therefore we can expect a net positive impact on noise pollution levels.

ENV1 Carbon neutral:		The lifecycle emissions of EVs in the UK is less than half of those from
Emissions of climate		comparable ICE vehicles (<u>Ricardo 2021)</u> . The energy, or carbon,
changing gases		'payback' period for EVs in the UK has been shown to be
		approximately 20,000 kms (Faraday Institution 2021), which for an
BCC has committed to		average UK car is between 2 and 3 years of age.
achieving net zero emissions		
for its direct activities by	Benefits	The lifecycle emissions of EV charge-points have been estimated to be
2025, and to support the city	Denents	between 1% and 3.5% of an EV's total lifecycle emissions (Zhang et al
in achieving net zero by		<u>2019</u>).
2030.		
		The infrastructure installed through this proposal will encourage
Will the proposal involve		uptake of zero-emission capable vehicles. As these vehicles replace
transport, or the use of		ICE vehicles, the proposal will have a net positive impact in reducing
energy in buildings? Will the		carbon emissions.

proposal involve the		Local consultation with the community and use of relevant data sets
purchase of goods or		(e.g. identifying gaps in the network) will help to inform where the
services? If the answer is yes		interventions will have the greatest impact which should help
to either of these questions,		maximise the effectiveness of the improvements. In turn this has the
there will be a carbon	Enhancing	potential to enhance the above-mentioned carbon savings through
impact.	actions	increased use of electric vehicles.
impuet.		
Consider the scale and		Through its product approach the soundly will soak to appour as
		Through its procurement approach the council will seek to encourage
timeframe of the impact,		the use of energy providers that invest in renewables.
particularly if the proposal	Persistence	
will lead to ongoing		The proposal will produce carbon emissions attributed to the
emissions beyond the 2025		extraction, installation, use and ongoing maintenance of the materials
and 2030 target dates.	Adverse	used.
	impacts	
Further guidance		
🗌 🗆 No impact		
		The life purchase of FM shares are into here being active to the here
		The lifecycle emissions of EV charge-points have been estimated to be
		between 1% and 3.5% of an EV's total lifecycle emissions (<u>Zhang et al</u>
		<u>2019</u>). When, included in an EV's whole life-cycle emissions, the
	Mitigating	charge points make a marginal increase in the energy return on
	actions	investment.
		The council will ensure that the environmental credentials of different
		charge point and energy providers are considered in the approach to
		procurement.
	Persistence	of effects: 🗌 1 year or less 🗌 1 – 5 years 🖾 5+ years
		Given the very small-scale and localised nature of the works the
ENV2 Ecological recovery:		proposal is unlikely to deliver any ecological benefits that contribute
Wildlife and habitats		to improved wildlife and habitats
BCC has committed to 30%	Benefits	
of its land being managed	Denents	
for nature and to halve its		
use of pesticides by 2030.		
Consider how your proposal		N/A
can support increased space		
for nature, reduced use of	Enhancing	
pesticides, reduce pollution	actions	
to waterways, and reduce		
consumption of products		
that undermine ecosystems	Persistence	of effects: 🔲 1 year or less 🛛 1 – 5 years 🖓 5+ years
around the world.		Given the very small-scale and localised nature of the works the
		proposal is unlikely to create any adverse ecological impacts,
If your proposal will directly	Adverse	particularly because improvements will take place on existing
lead to a reduction in habitat	impacts	highways infrastructure as opposed to encroaching on green
within Bristol, then consider		infrastructure
how your proposed		
mitigation can lead to a		
biodiversity net gain. Be sure		N/A
to refer to quantifiable	Mitigating	
changes wherever possible.	actions	
Further guidance		
🗌 🗌 No impact		
	Persistence	of effects: 1 year or less 1 – 5 years 5+ years

Consider how the proposal will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events. <u>Further guidance</u> No impact	Adverse impacts Mitigating actions	Bristol's re		□ 1 – 5 years d to have any adverse in change. □ 1 – 5 years	□ 5+ years
 will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during extreme weather events. Further guidance 	impacts Mitigating	Bristol's re	osal is not anticipate	d to have any adverse in	
will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during	impacts Mitigating	Bristol's re	osal is not anticipate	d to have any adverse in	
 will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to 		Bristol's re	osal is not anticipate	d to have any adverse in	
will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will			osal is not anticipate	d to have any adverse in	
will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and			osal is not anticipate	d to have any adverse in	
				🗀 1 – 5 years	🗆 5+ years 🗌
	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less		
changing, and increasingly frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time.	Enhancing actions	None			
ENV4 Climate resilience: Bristol's resilience to the effects of climate change Bristol's climate is already	Benefits	None			
└┘ No impact	Persistence	of effects:	□ 1 year or less	1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
<u>Further guidance</u>	Mitigating actions	providers	• • • •	oach the council will see te credible proposals for ucts lifecycle.	
less impactful ones, where they will be sourced from, and what will happen to any waste generated	Adverse impacts	The extrac will inevit	ction, refinement, ar ably use non-renewa	nd installation of materia able resources and gener the vehicles that will use	ls in the project ate waste
Consider what resources will be used as a result of the proposal, how they can be minimised or swapped for	Enhancing actions Persistence	interventions will have the greatest impact which should help maximise the effectiveness of the improvements. In turn this has the potential to enhance the above-mentioned resource savings through increased use of zero emission capable vehicles of effects: □ 1 year or less □ 1 – 5 years ⊠ 5+ years			
		Local cons	sultation with the co	mmunity will help to inf	
city: Consumption of resources and generation of waste	Benefits	vehicles w Zero emis fuels, but electricity	vill reduce the consu sion capable vehicle this would be expec grid from which the	mission capable vehicles mption of non-renewabl s will still consume non-r ted to be at a reduced ra y draw energy will be pa wind, solar and hydro.	e fossil fuels. renewable fossil ite as the
ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste		Encouragi	ng the use of zero-e	mission capable vehicles	over ICE

Statutory duty: Prevention of Pollution to air, water, or land	Benefits	The proposal will encourage more trips by zero-emission capable vehicles which will provide air quality and noise benefits.		
Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of pollution occurring to air, water, or land and what steps will be taken to prevent pollution occurring.	Enhancing actions	Local consultation with the community will help to inform where the interventions will have the greatest impact which should help maximise the effectiveness of the improvements. In turn this has the potential to improve air quality and noise pollution through increased use of zero-emission capable vehicles.		
	Persistence	of effects: 1 year or less 1 – 5 years 5+ years		
<u>Further guidance</u>	Mitigating actions	Through its procurement approach the council will seek to favour providers that can demonstrate credible proposals for reducing pollution at different stages of the products lifecycle.		
	Persistence	of effects: \Box 1 year or less \Box 1 – 5 years \Box 5+ years		

Step 3: Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Ensure that mitigations listed above are secured through the	Jacob Pryor	2024/25
procurement of a charge point provider.		
Ensure that engagement and consultation enhance the	Jacob Pryor	Start 2024
effectiveness of the improvements, drawing on local experiences		(ongoing)
and knowledge of how transport infrastructure is used.		

Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal.

Please seek feedback and review by emailing <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> before final submission of your decision pathway documentation¹.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service and must be included in the 'evidence base' section of the decision pathway cover sheet.

Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):

BCC's Environmental Impact Assessment has determined significant beneficial impacts from the proposal: To achieve the transport related objectives in the One City Climate Strategy, BCC needs to coordinate the rapid and large-scale expansion of EV charging infrastructure. This proposal provides BCC the financial means to contribute a large quantity of this in a way that widens the opportunity for EV ownership beyond those citizens with private off-street parking.

Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer:	Submitting author:
Daniel Shelton	Jacob Pryor
Date:	Date:
05.02.24	05.02.24

¹ Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.